**NEW PROJECT WRITTEN REVIEW**

**PROJECT NAME:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **I. Project Meets Need of CoC** | **Points Available** | **Points Awarded** |
| A. Are 25% or more of the project’s units dedicated to serving one or more of the following priority populations: families with children, survivors of domestic violence, Adults/Families with Disabilities or youth (18 to 24)? | Yes: 1 point  No: 0 points |  |
| B. Less Restrictive Eligibility Criteria | Maximum 5 points, one point per criterion (see application) |  |
| C. Low Demand Service Model | Maximum 5 points, one point per criterion  (see application) |  |
|  | **Category I Total Points (maximum: 11)** |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **II Project Supports Housing First** | **Points Available** | **Points Awarded** |
| A. Project is committed to Housing First and meets all Housing First Criteria on the ESnaps Project Application. | Yes: 2 points  No 0 points |  |
| B If the project application requires services, failure to participate in services has appropriate consequences other than loss of housing. | Yes 1 point  No 0 points |  |
|  | **Category II Total Points (maximum 3)** |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **III. Project Narrative** | | **Points Available** | | **Points Awarded** | |
| A. Narrative provides support for previous experience with projects of a similar nature. | | Yes: 1 point  No: 0 points | |  | |
| B. Active member of Rock River Homeless Coalition/Commits to being active member | | Yes: 1 point  No: 0 points | |  | |
| C. Project narrative describes how applied for project works to support CoC goal of Housing First and Coordinated Entry. | | Yes: 3 points  No: 0 points | |  | |
|  | | **Category III Total Points (maximum: 5)** | |  | |

III

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **IV. Other Performance Related to CoC**  **Standards and Expectations** | **Points Available** | **Points Awarded** |
| A. Project describes an effective utilization of an ongoing evaluation and quality improvement process**. (This must be project specific and not overall agency)** | Good example: 2 points  Fair example: 1 point  Poor or no example: 0 points |  |
| B. Does the project conduct anonymous customer satisfaction surveys or utilize  alternative methods of anonymous feedback? | Yes: 1 point  No: 0 points |  |
| C. Does the project provide a structured opportunity for feedback to all who exit regardless of reason for leaving? | Yes: 1 point  No: 0 points |  |
| D. Is customer feedback presented to the  Board of Directors? | Yes: 1 point  No: 0 points |  |
| E. Is there a person with lived experience  involved in the agency’s decision-making process? | Yes: 1 point  No: 0 points |  |
| F. Does the project have a focus on coordination with mainstream resources in order to maximize benefits for the individual? (SSI, SSDI, TANF, Medicaid or Marketplace Insurance, Food Stamps, All Kids, WIA, Veterans Health Care) | Yes: 2 points  No: 0 points |  |
|  | **Category V Total Points (maximum: 8)** |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **V. Coordinated Entry** |  |  |
| A. Project agrees to follow the CES protocols for accepting, returning, or appealing referrals | Yes: 2 points  No: 0 points |  |
| B. Applicant agrees to participation in 75% of CES case  conferencing (in person or by phone) by PSH program staff or representative knowledgeable about status of current housing referrals (measured since July 1,  2018) | Yes: 2 points  No: 0 points |  |
|  | **Category V. Total Points (maximum: 4)** |  |

**VI. Cost Per Unit**

What was the cost per household served of the project using the following formula:

**HUD Request divided by (project unit capacity)**

***Example A***: The project receives a HUD award of $200,000. It is contracted for 10 units.

**$200,000 divided by (10) equals cost per unit of $20,000**

***Example B***: The RRH project receives a HUD award of $200,000. It is contracted for 10 units.

**$200,000 divided by (10 + 10) equals cost per unit of $10,000**

**COMPLETE COST PER UNIT FORMULA FOR THIS PROJECT.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| A) HUD Award | **$** |
| B) Project Unity Capacity |  |
| **Cost per Unit = A/(B+C)** | **$** |

PSH cost per unit to only be compared to PSH; RRH to RRH;

Each cost per unit will be ranked high, medium or low and scored based upon those rankings. Projects with low unit cost will receive 5 points, medium 3 points and high 1 point.

**Please calculate this ratio. It will only be used if there is a tie in the final score between projects.**

1) Total Housing dollars requested for operating, leasing, construction, rehab, and acquisition =

$

2) Total Service dollars requested for supportive services only = $

**NOTE:** Do not include HMIS or Administration dollars for either 1 or 2

3) Divide Housing dollars requested by Service dollars requested to get the ratio

Housing/Service Ratio=\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Renewal Scoring Summary** | **Points Awarded** |
| Category I. Project Meets Need of CoC | /11 maximum points |
| Category II. Project Supports Housing First | /3 maximum points |
| Category III. Project Narrative | /5 maximum points |
| Category IV. Other Performance Related to CoC Standards and Expectations | /8 maximum points |
| Category V. Coordinated Entry | /4 maximum points |
| Category VI. Cost per Unit | /5 maximum points |
| **Renewal Total (with bonus)** | /36 maximum points |